

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,868	08/25/2003	Tatsuya Kawasaki	070639-0143	1667	
22428 7590 12/21/2006 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			EXAMINER GANDHI, DIPAKKUMAR B		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/21/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/646	,868	KAWASAKI, TAT	SUYA			
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Dipakkı	ımar Gandhi	2138				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (I - If NO perio - Faiture to I Any reply I	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE N s of time may be available under the provisions 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of for reply is specified above, the maximum st reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF to 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNI event, however, may a I will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of the company	•			
Status	•							
2a)	sponsive to communication(s) files action is FINAL. ce this application is in condition sed in accordance with the praction	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal mat	•	e merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 15-17 and 19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7,8 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application	Papers		•	·				
10)⊠ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 13 June 200 plicant may not request that any objected to lacement drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected to	$\underline{6}$ is/are: a) \square accection to the drawing(s) the correction is requ) be held in abeya uired if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice of I	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (f n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2138

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on 11/20/2006 has been reviewed.
- Amendment filed on 11/20/2006 including amended claims has been entered.
- 3. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 6, 12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over So (US 5,883,844) in view of Akamatsu et al. (US 6,473,873 B1) and Giaume et al. (US 2002/0049940 A1).

 As per claim 6, So teaches a semiconductor device comprising: a memory circuit provided in a semiconductor substrate; and a test circuit testing that tests said memory circuit in a test mode and is incorporated into said semiconductor substrate together with said memory circuit, said test circuit comprising: an address register storing an address data (fig. 1, abstract, col. 1, lines 48-50, col. 2, lines 44-46, lines 54-56, col. 4, lines 32-33, So).

However So does not explicitly teach the specific use of a test pattern register storing a test pattern data, said test pattern data being written in said memory circuit in said test mode and said test pattern data being written in an address of said memory circuit indicated by said address data.

Akamatsu et al. in an analogous art teach that a plurality of terminals...data input/output (fig. 11, col. 2, lines 48-56, Akamatsu et al.). Akamatsu et al. teach that FIG. 4 shows... test patterns (fig. 4, col. 7, lines 58-60, Akamatsu et al.). Akamatsu et al. teach that the shift register circuit...memory section WL (fig. 4, col. 8, lines 1-21, Akamatsu et al.). Akamatsu et al. teach that in the test mode... internal address signal (IAD₁ to IAD_n), (col. 11, line 53 to col. 12, line 5, Akamatsu et al.). Akamatsu et al. teach that input/output terminals... address signal (fig. 11, col. 14, lines 14-18, Akamatsu et al.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify So's patent with the teachings of Akamatsu et al. by including an additional step of using a test pattern register storing a test pattern data, said test pattern data being written in said memory circuit in said test mode and said test pattern data being written in an address of said memory circuit indicated by said address data.

This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that it would provide the opportunity to write test pattern data in the specific address of the memory circuit.

So also does not explicitly teach the specific use of a fixed external terminal shared to receive serially said test pattern data and said address data.

Giaume et al. in an analogous art teach that in this integrated circuit, the memory cells Dli (where i=1 to N) and DOj (where j=1 to P) take the form of flip-flops D and form, respectively, a control register CR and an observation register OR. These registers are connected to a serial port TPORT intended to exchange information regarding addresses Add, data Dat and operations Ope with a test machine DBUG, which is generally external to the integrated circuit IC, and which machine drives all the test operations described herein (TPORT in fig. 1, page 2, paragraph 42, Giaume et al.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify So's patent with the teachings of Giaume et al. by including an additional step of using a fixed external terminal shared to receive serially said test pattern data and said address data.

Art Unit: 2138

This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that it would provide the opportunity to reduce the number of terminals of the semiconductor memory device.

- As per claim 12, So, Akamatsu et al. and Giaume et al. teach additional limitations.

 Akamatsu et al. teach the semiconductor device, wherein said test mode has a test setting mode and a test executing mode, in said test setting mode, said test pattern register and said address register receive said test pattern data and said address data respectively, in said test executing mode, said test pattern register and said address register output data stored therein to said memory device, said test setting mode is conducted when a test control signal input from a second external terminal has one logic state, and said test executing mode is conducted when said test control signal has another logic state (fig. 10, col. 11, line 18 to col. 12, line 22, Akamatsu et al.).
- As per claim 14, So, Akamatsu et al. and Giaume et al. teach additional limitations.
 Akamatsu et al. teach the semiconductor device, wherein said test pattern register and said address register are shift registers (col. 8, lines 1-4, Akamatsu et al.).
- 7. Claims 9, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over So (US 5,883,844), Akamatsu et al. (US 6,473,873 B1) and Giaume et al. (US 2002/0049940 A1) as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Gittinger et al. (US 5,668,815).

As per claim 9, So, Akamatsu et al. and Giaume et al. substantially teach the claimed invention described in claim 6 (as rejected above).

However So, Akamatsu et al. and Giaume et al. do not explicitly teach the specific use of the semiconductor device wherein said test circuit further comprises: an addressing register storing an addressing mode data, said addressing mode data indicating how said memory circuit is addressed to write said test pattern data.

Gittinger et al. in an analogous art teach that the control register... memory or I/O space (col. 11, lines 46-61, Gittinger et al.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify So's patent with the teachings of Gittinger et al. by including an additional step of using the

Art Unit: 2138

semiconductor device wherein said test circuit further comprises: an addressing register storing an addressing mode data, said addressing mode data indicating how said memory circuit is addressed to write said test pattern data.

This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that it would provide the opportunity to address the memory in different modes to write the test pattern data.

 As per claim 10, So, Akamatsu et al., Giaume et al. and Gittinger et al. teach additional limitations.

Gittinger et al. teach the semiconductor device wherein said addressing mode data indicates whether said address data is incremented or decremented (col. 11, lines 46-61, Gittinger et al.).

 As per claim 11, So, Akamatsu et al., Giaume et al. and Gittinger et al. teach additional limitations.

Gittinger et al. teach the semiconductor device wherein said first external terminal is shared to receive said addressing mode data (fig. 3, col. 9, lines 50-65, Gittinger et al.).

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over So (US 5,883,844) and Akamatsu et al. (US 6,473,873 B1) and Giaume et al. (US 2002/0049940 A1) as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Matshushita (JP 2002100200 A).

As per claim 13, So, Akamatsu et al. and Giaume et al. substantially teach the claimed invention described in claim 6 (as rejected above).

However So, Akamatsu et al. and Giaume et al. do not explicitly teach the specific use of the semiconductor device, wherein said test circuit further comprises a reverse circuit outputting data in which the value of said test pattern data is reversed.

Matshushita in an analogous art teaches a pattern reversal circuit (abstract, Matshushita).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify So's patent with the teachings of Matshushita by including an additional step of using the semiconductor device, wherein said test circuit further comprises a reverse circuit outputting data in which the value of said test pattern data is reversed.

This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that it would provide the opportunity to provide a different test pattern to test memory circuit.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 7, 8, 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The present invention relates to a test circuit for a memory, and especially, to a test circuit for a memory of a semiconductor integrated circuit in which, a memory and a logic section are mixed loaded on one semiconductor chip.

Claim 7 recites various features: "the semiconductor device wherein said test circuit further comprises: a selection register storing a selection data, said selection data indicating which of said test pattern register and said address register receives data from said first external terminal."

The prior art of record (So, US 5,883,844) teaches that in test modes, the operation of the memory can be quite different from that of normal operation (col. 1, lines 50-51, So). So teaches that the present invention provides an integrated circuit having enhanced testing capabilities. The integrated circuit has a substrate and a memory block on the substrate (col. 2, lines 43-46, So). So teaches that the addressing means is provided by an address register (col. 4, lines 32-33, So).

Akamatsu et al. (US 6,473,873 B1) teach that the memory block MB includes the memory array MA, the address input circuit ADB, the data input/output circuit I/O, the timing generation circuit TG, and elements associated therewith. The test circuit block TB includes the test pattern generation circuit PAM (col. 6, lines 3-8, Akamatsu et al.).

Giaume et al. (US 2002/0049940 A1) teach that in this integrated circuit, the memory cells DIi (where i=1 to N) and DOj (where j=1 to P) take the form of flip-flops D and form, respectively, a control register CR and an observation register OR. These registers are connected to a serial port TPORT intended to exchange information regarding addresses Add, data Dat and operations Ope with a test machine DBUG,

which is generally external to the integrated circuit IC, and which machine drives all the test operations described herein (TPORT in fig. 1, page 2, paragraph 42, Giaume et al.).

Gittinger et al. (US 5,668,815) teaches to increment, decrement, or not modify the source address after each read/write access pair; whether the source address is in memory of I/O space; whether to increment, decrement, or not modify the destination address after each read/write access pair (col. 11, lines 56-60, Gittinger et al.).

Matshushita (JP 2002100200 A) teaches a test pattern generating device that generates a basic pattern which repeats alternately a signal '0' in which value of all bits are 0 and a signal '1' in which values of all bits are 1 (abstract, Matshushita).

The prior arts of record however are not concerned with and do not teach the semiconductor device wherein said test circuit further comprises: a selection register storing a selection data, said selection data indicating which of said test pattern register and said address register receives data from said first external terminal.

Hence, the prior arts of record taken alone or in any combination fail to teach the claimed novel feature in claim 7 in view of its base and intervening claims.

10. Claims 15, 16, 17 and 19 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The claimed invention in claim 15 recites features such as:"...a semiconductor device comprising: a memory circuit provided in a semiconductor substrate; and a test circuit that tests said memory circuit in a test mode and is incorporated into said semiconductor substrate together with said memory circuit, said test circuit comprising: a test pattern register storing a test pattern data, said test pattern data being written in said memory circuit; a selection register storing a selection data, said selection data indicating whether said test pattern register is selected to receive said test pattern data; and a first external terminal shared to receive serially said test pattern data and said selection data."

The prior art of record (So, US 5,883,844) teaches that in test modes, the operation of the memory can be quite different from that of normal operation (col. 1, lines 50-51, So). So teaches that the present invention provides an integrated circuit having enhanced testing capabilities. The integrated circuit has a

substrate and a memory block on the substrate (col. 2, lines 43-46, So). So teaches that the addressing means is provided by an address register (col. 4, lines 32-33, So).

Akamatsu et al. (US 6,473,873 B1) teach that the memory block MB includes the memory array MA, the address input circuit ADB, the data input/output circuit I/O, the timing generation circuit TG, and elements associated therewith. The test circuit block TB includes the test pattern generation circuit PAM (col. 6, lines 3-8, Akamatsu et al.).

Giaume et al. (US 2002/0049940 A1) teach that in this integrated circuit, the memory cells DIi (where i=1 to N) and DOj (where j=1 to P) take the form of flip-flops D and form, respectively, a control register CR and an observation register OR. These registers are connected to a serial port TPORT intended to exchange information regarding addresses Add, data Dat and operations Ope with a test machine DBUG, which is generally external to the integrated circuit IC, and which machine drives all the test operations described herein (TPORT in fig. 1, page 2, paragraph 42, Giaume et al.).

Gittinger et al. (US 5,668,815) teaches to increment, decrement, or not modify the source address after each read/write access pair; whether the source address is in memory of I/O space; whether to increment, decrement, or not modify the destination address after each read/write access pair (col. 11, lines 56-60, Gittinger et al.).

Matshushita (JP 2002100200 A) teaches a test pattern generating device that generates a basic pattern which repeats alternately a signal '0' in which value of all bits are 0 and a signal '1' in which values of all bits are 1 (abstract, Matshushita).

However the prior arts of record do not teach a semiconductor device comprising: a memory circuit provided in a semiconductor substrate; and a test circuit that tests said memory circuit in a test mode and is incorporated into said semiconductor substrate together with said memory circuit, said test circuit comprising: a test pattern register storing a test pattern data, said test pattern data being written in said memory circuit; a selection register storing a selection data, said selection data indicating whether said test pattern register is selected to receive said test pattern data; and a first external terminal shared to receive serially said test pattern data and said selection data.

Art Unit: 2138

Page 9

Hence, the prior arts of record do not anticipate nor render obvious the claimed inventions. Thus, claim 15 is allowable over the prior arts of record. Claims 16, 17, 19 are allowed because of the combination of additional limitations and the limitations listed above.

Thus, claims 15, 16, 17, 19 are allowable over the prior arts of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dipakkumar Gandhi whose telephone number is 571-272-3822. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dipakkumar Gandhi Patent Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100